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## CBETA FFAG Arc Cell Lattice

Table 2.6.1: Hard edge arc cell design parameters.

| Injection Total Energy $(\mathrm{MeV})$ | 6 |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Maximum Total Energy $(\mathrm{MeV})$ | 150 |  |
| Linac Passes | 4 |  |
| Reference Radius $(\mathrm{m})$ | 5.099439 |  |
| $L_{D F}(\mathrm{~mm})$ | 120 |  |
| $L_{F D}(\mathrm{~mm})$ | 70 |  |
| $\alpha$ | F | D |
| $L_{Q \alpha}(\mathrm{~mm})$ | 133 | 122 |
| $x_{\alpha}(\mathrm{mm})$ | -7.182 | +20.132 |
| Gradient $(\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{m})$ | +10.621 | -10.017 |

Table 2.6.2: Horizontal displacements for the real magnets, determined using field maps.

| $x_{F}(\mathrm{~mm})$ | -4.089 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $x_{D}(\mathrm{~mm})$ | +17.313 |

## Code Comparison

## Muon1 (by Stephen Brooks)

- Uses Cartesian global-frame coordinates
- Tracks in t
- Runge-Kutta $4^{\text {th }}$ order
- Based on $F=q(E+v \times B)$ (no Hamiltonians)
- Fixed timestep of 10ps (I sometimes lower this to 5ps for CBETA, very little difference)
- Fieldmaps are interpolated trilinearly (occasionally leads to rough edges when finding tunes)
"Scott's Code" (developed recently for CBETA)
- Uses cylindrical polar coordinates (r,theta,y)
- Tracks in theta
- [Not sure what integrator]
- Based on Hamiltonians
- Fieldmaps are smoothed and particles are tracked through a highly-differentiable spline fit


## Muon1 Simulation



## Muon1 Simulation

## Cell Tunes from Muon1



## Cell Path Length and TOF



## Cell Tunes from Both Codes

| Total Energy <br> (MeV) | Muon1 Cell <br> Tune X | Muon1 Cell <br> Tune Y | Scott Cell <br> Tune X | Scott Cell <br> Tune Y |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 42 | 0.360041 | 0.286975 |  |  |
| 78 | 0.177298 | 0.119886 |  |  |
| 114 | 0.125858 | 0.0638703 |  |  |
| 150 | 0.104551 | 0.0390379 |  |  |

Only the first turn ( 42 MeV ) is in the region susceptible to strong resonances. The rest of the turns are in the pseudo-continuous phase advance regime.

The low tune is always the Y tune at the highest energy. Going higher makes it difficult to find a lattice with practical field strengths. Going lower makes optics sensitive to focussing errors and not enough phase advance for "adiabatic" transition from FFAG arc to straight.

## Scott Berg's CBETA FFAG Arc Cell (and Holger's Fieldmaps) Imported Into Muon1

File "Cbeta/Cell_Iron_2016-05-12"
\{Scott's 150 MeV lattice from "160507-JSBerg" folder, using Holger's fieldmaps from "160512-FieldMap" subfolder\}
\#h $=+1.9610000439244032 \mathrm{e}-01$; \#R=1.0/h;
\#lqf $=+1.3300000000000001 \mathrm{e}-01$;
\#lqd $=+1.2200000000000000 \mathrm{e}-01$;
\#lfd $=+7.0000000000000007 \mathrm{e}-02$;
\#ldf $=+1.2000000000000000 \mathrm{e}-01$;
\#thqf=2*asin(0.5*|qf/R);
\#thqd=2*asin( $0.5^{*} \mathrm{lqd} / R$ );
\#thfd=2*asin( $\left.0.5^{*} \mid f d / R\right)$;
\#thdf=2*asin(0.5*ldf/R);
\{Drift Length Angle\}
DF \#R*thdf\# \#thdf*-1\#
QF \#R*thqf\# \#thqf*-1\#
FD \#R*thfd\# \#thfd*-1\#
QD \#R*thqd\# \#thqd*-1\#
HDF \#DF.Length/2\# \#DF.Angle/2\# HFD \#FD.Length/2\# \#FD.Angle/2\#

## Scott's parameters

 $h$ is inverse radiusConvert chord lengths to arc lengths

Define empty circular arc
elements (radius R) for layout reference

Half-elements












| R\#

CellFM: HDF,QF,HFD,FMboth,HFD,QD,HDF; Cell definitions CellFMsep: HDF,QF,HFD,FMF,FMD,HFD,QD,HDF;

```
#Emin = +4.20000000000000000 +07;
#Emax = +1.5000000000000000e+08;
#n = 4;
Scott's energy range
Number of passes
Units, total E. -> k.e.
```

\#Emin $=+4.2000000000000000 \mathrm{e}+07$;
\#Emax $=+1.5000000000000000 \mathrm{e}+08$;
\#n = 4;
\#EmasseV=510998.928;
\{MatchScan Estart Egoal Estep Species=Electron AllowUnstable=1\}
Match \#Emax-EmasseV\#eV \#Emin-EmasseV\#eV \#(Emax-Emin)/(n1) $\# \mathrm{eV}$

MatchFine \#Match.Estart\# \#Match.Egoal\# 1MeV
\{Match-Aperture\}
MatchEnd
Place 3 cells to allow

## Focus: Aligning the Fieldmaps

\{TrilinearFieldMap File Strength Xrel Mirror $Y=1\}$
FMboth Cbetalliron_2016-05-121160308a-38-48_5deg150MeVCombQdOnQfOn_polar.txt \#scalboth*-1\# \#dispboth-R\#
FMF CbetalIron_2016-05-12\160308a-38-48_5deg150MeVSepQfOn_polar.txt \#scalF*-1\# \#dispF-R\#
FMD Cbetallron_2016-05-12\160308a-38-48_5deg150MeVSepQdOn_polar.txt \#scalD*-1\# \#dispD-R\#
3 fieldmaps with long file names
Field magnitude is inverted due to difference in curvature direction (Scott vs. reality)
Relative position in local $X$ is "-R", i.e. origin is centre of radius $R$ circle
Mirrored in $Y$ to give both positive and negative $Y$

CellFM: HDF,QF,HFD,FMboth,HFD,QD,HDF;
CelliFMsep: HDF,QF,HFD,FMF,FMD,HFD,QD,HDF;

For each version of the cell (combined or separate fieldmaps), the maps are placed at the centre of the F-to-D (short) drift
The cell entrance/exit is the centre of the D-to-F (long) drift


## Resonance Plot

before adjustment to straight drift lengths
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Resonance band features broadened because straight section has different tunes to arcs. Can fix by adjusting straight section drift lengths.

## Conclusions

- The CBETA FFAG has been simulated in Scott's code, Muon1 and BMAD
- These codes have very different internal operation yet we get consistent results
- Arc-to-straight match has been optimised for an old lattice, currently being optimised for the newest version

